

Proofs by Contradiction

In a *proof by contradiction*, we prove a statement by assuming it is false and deriving a contradiction.

Q: Why are proofs by contradiction effective?

A: The assumption that the statement is false can help by giving us something concrete to work with.

Example:

Theorem: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof: Assume $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{N} (\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q} \wedge \gcd(p, q) = 1)$

Since $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$, $p^2 = 2q^2$ so p must be even. Letting $v = \frac{p}{2}$,

$v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p^2 = (2v)^2 = 4v^2 = 2q^2$ so $q^2 = 2v^2$ and thus q must be even. But then $2|p$ and $2|q$, so $\gcd(p, q) \neq 1$.

Contradiction.

Proofs by Contradiction

Theorem: There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof:

Lemma: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \exists p \in \mathcal{P} (p|n)$

Proof: See next page.

Assume that there are finitely many primes

p_1, \dots, p_k . Consider $n = \left(\prod_{j=1}^k p_j \right) + 1$.

Now $\forall j \in [k]$, $n = \left(\prod_{i \in [k] \setminus \{j\}} p_i \right) p_j + 1$ so $p_j \nmid n$. But then n is not divisible by any prime p , which by the lemma is impossible. Contradiction.

Lemma Proof

Lemma: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \exists p \in \mathcal{P} (p|n)$

Proof:

Given $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, let p be the smallest natural number greater than 1 such that $p|n$.

Note: p exists as $n|n$.

Claim: $p \in \mathcal{P}$

Proof:

Assume $p \notin \mathcal{P}$. Then $\exists d \in \mathbb{N} (d|p \wedge 1 < d < p)$.

$d|p$ and $p|n$ so $d|n$. However, this contradicts our choice of p .

Contradiction.