

# Modular Arithmetic and the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Discrete Mathematics 27100 Winter 2022

February 2 and 4, 2022

Corresponding sections in Margaret Fleck's "Building Blocks for Theoretical Computer Science":  
Sections 4.10, 4.11., 4.12

Corresponding sections in Rosen's "Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications": Sections 4.1, 4.4

Corresponding material in Professor Kurtz's lecture notes: Lecture 4

## 1 Modular Arithmetic

Recall the division theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Division Theorem). *For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a unique pair of integers  $(q, r)$  such that*

1.  $n = qd + r$
2.  $0 \leq r < d$

Usually, when we do division, we focus on the quotient  $q$ . In modular arithmetic, we ignore the quotient and only look at the remainder.

**Definition 1.2** (Mod  $n$  Operation). *We define  $n \bmod d$  to be the remainder when we divide  $n$  by  $d$ .*

**Example 1.3.** *Some examples of the mod  $n$  operation are as follows.*

1.  $7 \bmod 3 = 1$
2.  $23 \bmod 5 = 3$
3.  $39 \bmod 8 = 7$

Mod  $n$  can also be seen as a congruence relation and this is extremely useful.

**Definition 1.4** (Mod  $n$  Congruence Relation). *We say that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if  $n \mid b - a$ .*

**Example 1.5.** *Some examples of the mod  $n$  congruence relation are as follows.*

1.  $7 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
2.  $23 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$

3.  $76 \equiv -4 \pmod{8}$

**Warning 1.6.** Be careful not to confuse the mod  $n$  operation with the mod  $n$  congruence relation. For example,  $10 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  and  $10 \pmod{7} = 3$  are correct but  $10 = 3 \pmod{7}$  is incorrect because  $3 \pmod{7} = 3$ . When doing modular arithmetic, we will generally want to use the mod  $n$  congruence relation.

## 1.1 Addition, Subtraction, and Multiplication Modulo $n$

A key property of the modulo  $n$  operation is that it interacts very nicely with arithmetic operations. In particular, in order to compute  $x + y$ ,  $x - y$ , or  $x * y$  modulo  $n$ , it is sufficient to know  $x \pmod{n}$  and  $y \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$  then  $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$  then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b = a + xn)$  and  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}(c = b + yn)$ . Now  $c = b + yn = a + xn + yn = a + (x + y)n$  so  $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ , as needed.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a' \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $b' \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $a' + b' \equiv a + b \pmod{n}$  and  $a' - b' \equiv a - b \pmod{n}$

*Proof.* If  $a' \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $b' \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(a' = a + xn)$  and  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}(b' = b + yn)$ . Now

$$a' + b' = a + xn + b + yn = a + b + (x + y)n$$

and

$$a' - b' = a + xn - (b + yn) = a - b + (x - y)n$$

so  $a' + b' \equiv a + b \pmod{n}$  and  $a' - b' \equiv a - b \pmod{n}$ , as needed.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.9.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a' \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $b' \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $a'b' \equiv ab \pmod{n}$

*Proof.* If  $a' \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $b' \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(a' = a + xn)$  and  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}(b' = b + yn)$ . Now

$$a'b' = (a + xn)(b + yn) = ab + ayn + xnb + xyn^2 = ab + (ay + bx + xyn)n$$

so  $a'b' \equiv ab \pmod{n}$ , as needed.  $\square$

## 1.2 $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Since addition, subtraction, and multiplication interact well with the mod  $n$  operation, we can define a whole system of arithmetic which just uses remainders modulo  $n$ . This system is called  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

**Definition 1.10.** Given a natural number  $n > 1$ , the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is defined as follows:

1.  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$

2. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we define  $a + b$  to be  $a + b \pmod n$ , we define  $a - b$  to be  $a - b \pmod n$ , and we define  $ab = ab \pmod n$

**Remark 1.11.** Technically, we should only have the elements  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . However, it is often convenient to allow all integers to be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . To do this, given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we set  $a = a \pmod n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus, we can think of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  as applying the  $\pmod n$  operation to every integer.

**Example 1.12.**

1. In  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ,  $2 - 4 = 3$ .
2. In  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ ,  $4 * 7 = 13$ .

**Example 1.13.** The multiplication table for  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  is as follows:

	$\times 0$	$\times 1$	$\times 2$	$\times 3$	$\times 4$
$\times 0$	0	0	0	0	0
$\times 1$	0	1	2	3	4
$\times 2$	0	2	4	1	3
$\times 3$	0	3	1	4	2
$\times 4$	0	4	3	2	1

### 1.3 Invertibility in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

**Definition 1.14.** We say that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is invertible if  $\exists a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n (a^{-1}a = 1)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (or equivalently,  $a^{-1}a \equiv 1 \pmod n$ ).

**Remark 1.15.** If we want to allow all integers to be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , we say that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  if  $a \pmod n$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . If so, we take  $a^{-1} = (a \pmod n)^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Note that  $a^{-1}a \equiv (a \pmod n)^{-1}(a \pmod n) \equiv 1 \pmod n$ .

**Remark 1.16.** Note that if  $a$  has an inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  then this inverse must be unique. To see this, let  $b, b'$  be two inverses of  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and observe that in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $b = b(ab') = bab' = (ba)b' = b'$ .

**Example 1.17.**

1. In  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ,  $2^{-1} = 3$  as  $2 * 3 = 6$  and  $6 \equiv 1 \pmod 5$
2. In  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ ,  $4^{-1} = 7$  as  $4 * 7 = 28$  and  $28 \equiv 1 \pmod 9$
3. In  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ ,  $7^{-1} = 7$  as  $7 * 7 = 49$  and  $49 \equiv 1 \pmod 12$
4. 6 is not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}$

**Lemma 1.18.** For all natural numbers  $n > 1$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is invertible if and only if  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$

*Proof.* If  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$  then by Bézout's identity,  $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (xa + yn = 1)$ . This implies that  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod n$  so we can take  $a^{-1} = x \pmod n$ . Conversely, if  $a$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  then in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a^{-1}a = 1 + kn$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Rearranging, we have that  $1 = a^{-1}a - kn$ . Since  $\gcd(a, n) \mid a$  and  $\gcd(a, n) \mid n$ ,  $\gcd(a, n) \mid a^{-1}a - kn = 1$ . Thus,  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ , as needed. □

**Remark 1.19.** Recall that for all integers  $x, y, k$  such that  $x, y$  are not both 0,  $\gcd(x - ky, y) = \gcd(x, y)$ . Thus for any natural number  $n > 1$  and any integer  $a$ ,  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(a \bmod n, n)$ . Thus, we can again extend this result to all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  by replacing  $a$  with  $a \bmod n$ .

**Proposition 1.20.** For all natural numbers  $n > 1$  and all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $ab$  is invertible if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are both invertible. Moreover, in this case,  $(ab)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1}$  (where the multiplication is done in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ).

*Proof.* Observe that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  are both invertible then  $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}b^{-1}ba = a^{-1}a = 1$ . Conversely, if  $ab$  is invertible then  $(ab)^{-1}ab = ((ab)^{-1}a)b = ((ab)^{-1}b)a = 1$  so both  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.21.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(ab, n) = 1$  if and only if  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$  and  $\gcd(b, n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$  then  $\gcd(ab, n) = \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n) = 1$ . If  $n > 1$ , observe that  $\gcd(ab, n) = 1$  if and only if  $ab$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which is true if and only if both  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which in turn is true if and only if  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 1.4 Division in $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{F}_p$

If  $p$  is prime then  $\forall a \in [p - 1](\gcd(a, p) = 1)$ . Thus, every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  except 0 is invertible. This allows us to define division in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$

**Definition 1.22.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $b \neq 0$ , we define  $\frac{a}{b}$  to be  $\frac{a}{b} = ab^{-1}$

Since we have division in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a finite field which is often denoted as  $\mathbb{F}_p$

## 2 The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem 2.1** (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let  $\{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  be a set of natural numbers such that

1.  $\forall i(d_i > 1)$
2. For all  $i, j \in [k]$  such that  $i \neq j$ ,  $\gcd(d_i, d_j) = 1$  (i.e. each pair of these numbers is relatively prime)

For any set of remainders  $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$  such that  $\forall i, 0 \leq r_i < d_i$ , there exists a unique integer  $n$  such that

1.  $\forall i \in [k](n \bmod d_i = r_i)$
2.  $0 \leq n < \prod_{i=1}^k d_i$

*Proof.* To prove that  $n$  exists, we describe how to find such an  $n$ . The idea is to find an integer  $e_i$  for each  $i \in [k]$  such that

1.  $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{d_i}$
2.  $\forall j \in [k] \setminus \{i\} (e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d_j})$

We can then take  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i e_i \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^k d_i}$ .

To find  $e_i$ , we do the following

1. Observe that since  $\forall j \in [k] \setminus \{i\} (\gcd(d_i, d_j) = 1)$ , we have that  $\gcd(d_i, \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j) = 1$ . Thus,  $\prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$ . Let  $a_i$  be the inverse of  $\prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$ .
2. Take  $e_i = a_i \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j$ . Now observe that since  $a_i$  is the inverse of  $\prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$ ,  $a_i \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j \equiv 1 \pmod{d_i}$ . Moreover, for all  $j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $d_j \mid e_i$  so  $e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d_j}$ .

If we take  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i e_i$  then for all  $i \in [k]$ ,  $n \equiv r_i e_i + \sum_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} r_j e_j \equiv r_i \pmod{d_i}$ . In order to make  $n$  less than  $\prod_{i=1}^k d_i$  we take  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i e_i \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^k d_i}$  (note that this does not affect any of the remainders because for all  $i \in [k]$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^k d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d_i}$ ).

To show that  $n$  is unique, assume that  $n'$  also satisfies these conditions. Now observe that  $\forall i \in [k] (n' - n \equiv 0 \pmod{d_i})$  so  $d_i \mid (n' - n)$ .

Since  $\{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  are relatively prime, the least common multiple of  $\{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^k d_i$  so  $\prod_{i=1}^k d_i \mid (n' - n)$ . Since  $0 \leq n < \prod_{i=1}^k d_i$  and  $0 \leq n' < \prod_{i=1}^k d_i$ , we must have that  $n' = n$ , as needed.  $\square$

**Example 2.2.** Find an integer  $n$  such that

1.  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$
2.  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$
3.  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

*Answer:* We take the following steps

1. To find an integer  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $e_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , and  $e_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , start with  $6 * 7 = 42$ . Since  $42 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $2^{-1} = 3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , we can take  $e_1 = 3 * 42 = 126$ .
2. To find an integer  $e_2$  such that  $e_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $e_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , and  $e_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , start with  $5 * 7 = 35$ . Since  $35 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $5^{-1} = 5$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , we can take  $e_2 = 5 * 35 = 175$ .
3. To find an integer  $e_3$  such that  $e_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $e_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , and  $e_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , start with  $5 * 6 = 30$ . Since  $30 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$  and  $2^{-1} = 4$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ , we can take  $e_3 = 4 * 30 = 120$ .
4. Now that we have found  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$ , we can take  $n = 4e_1 + 4e_2 + e_3 = 504 + 700 + 120 = 1324$ .
5. If we want that  $0 \leq n < 5 * 6 * 7 = 210$ , then we can instead take  $1324 \pmod{210}$ . Dividing 1324 by 210, we get a remainder of  $1324 - 6 * 210 = 1324 - 1260 = 64$  so we can take  $n = 64$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Rather than finding  $e_i$  and then multiplying it by  $r_i$ , we can instead directly find a number  $c_i$  such that  $c_i \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j \equiv r_i \pmod{d_i}$  and then take  $x_i = c_i \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} d_j$  instead of  $r_i e_i$ .

For example, here we have that  $42 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $2 * 2 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$  so we can take  $x_1 = 2 * 42 = 84$  instead of  $r_1 e_1 = 4 * 126 = 504$ . Observe that  $504 \equiv 84 \pmod{210}$  so we will end up with the same result modulo 210.